

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,906	07/25/2001		Byoung Kwon Cha	000939-085400US	7303
20350	7590	06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND AND	NGUYE	NGUYEN, TAN	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRAN	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2827	
				DATE MAILED: 06/14/200.	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/915,906	CHA, BYOUNG KWON					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tan T. Nguyen	2827					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-5 and 17-44 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 41-44 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5 and 17-40 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2827

1. The following action is in response to the amendment submitted on March 29, 2005.

- 2. Claims 1-5, 17-44 are pending.
  - Claims 6-16 and 45-49 have been canceled.
- The amendment filed on March 29, 2005 proposes amendments to claims 5 and 17-44 that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b), which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. A supplemental paper correctly amending the reissue application is required.

Except the original claims 1-4, all the new amended claims must be underlined at all time.

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In column 2, line 60 and line 66, "101111011" is a 9 bits signal. It should be changed to 8-bit signal.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. Claims 17-19 and 20-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 17, lines 5-6 and 10-11, it is not clear what "a given circuit" and "a second circuit" are. It is not clear how the given circuit configured to reprogram only the memory cells that have not been properly programmed. It is not clear how the second circuit transmits a signal to initiate reprogramming of any memory cells that that has not

Art Unit: 2827

been properly programmed. Which of the given circuit and the second circuit is the latch circuit and the control circuit in the specification?

- 6. The indicated allowability of claims 20-40 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to U.S> Patent No. 4,811,294 and 5,521,868 Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 20-22 and 34-40, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,811,294) in view of Nobukata (U.S. Patent No. 5,521,868).

Kobayashi et al. disclosed in Figure 1 a conventional EEPROM comprising a memory cell array [1] (column 1, line 20), an input buffer [8] which receives data to be written into a memory cell selected in accordance with an address signal (column 1, lines 25-27), a comparator [12] receives data from a sense amplifier [11] and data latched in a data latch [9] to compare the same as to check whether the written-in data is identical with the latched data, and a write/erase controller [13] controls the write and the write operation into the memory cell array (column 1, lines 50-59). Kobayashi further disclosed the data is written in byte by byte (column 1, line 62). As shown in Fig.1, the signal from the comparator [12] to the write/erase controller [13] would be

Art Unit: 2827

considered as the claimed first signal, and the signal from the write/erase controller [13] to the comparator [12] would be considered as the claimed second signal. Kobayashi disclosed in column 3, lines 32-40 the write cycle and the rewrite cycle.

Kobayashi did not discuss the reprogramming of only the memory cells that have not been programmed properly.

Nobukata disclosed in the Abstract a non-volatile memory device having a rewriting of data selectively only in cells in which data is written incompletely.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the memory device of Kobayashi et al. by providing the rewriting method of Nobukata.

The rationale is as follows: A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the rewriting method of Nobukata to rewrite data to only the cells that not have been programmed correctly which would save time of the verify operation and also prolong the life the other memory cells,

Regarding claim 21, Kobayashi disclosed the comparator [12] receives data from a sense amplifier [11] and data latched in a data latch [9] to compare the same as to check whether the written-in data is identical with the latched data (column 1, lines 53-55).

Regarding claim 22, Kobayashi disclosed the data is written in byte by byte (column 1, line 61-62).

Regarding claims 34-40, the number of bits of data to be written appears to be an obvious matter. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select

Art Unit: 2827

an appropriate number of bits of data to be written to maximize the program operation of the memory device.

- 9. Claims 17-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. Claims 23-33 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. \*\*\*

Tomoeda and Tanaka et al. are cite to show memory devices having rewrite operation to only cells that have not been programmed properly.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 07:00 AM to 03:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoai Ho, can be reached at (571) 272-1777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2827

Page 6

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827 June 10, 2005